

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/06/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/652,817	08/29/2003	Jack T. Baker	9687-5 (37786-186516)	2480
23973	7590 06/06/2005		EXAM	INER
	IDDLE & REATH	HALPERN, MARK		
ATTN: INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP ONE LOGAN SQUARE 18TH AND CHERRY STREETS PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-6996			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1731	
			DATE MAIL CD. 06/06/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/652,817	BAKER, JACK T.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Mark Halpern	1731				
Period fo	 The MAILING DATE of this communication ap r Reply 	pears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address				
THE N - Extensefter S - If the p - If NO - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut- teply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, ma ly within the statutory minimum o will apply and will expire SIX (6) e. cause the application to become	ay a reply be timely filed If thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BABANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)				
Status	•						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 A	<i>Nav 2005</i> .					
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	_						
1	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition	on of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12,14-25,27 and 28</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ (6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12,14-25,27 and 28</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•				
8) 🗀 (Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application	on Papers						
9)□ T	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	•				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: ·a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)∐ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attac	thed Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.0	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Burea	. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(•	۸	Survey and (DTO 445)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) 🛛 Inform	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/10/04. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						
S Patent and True		o) ☐ Otner:	·				

DETAILED ACTION

1) Acknowledgement is made of Amendment received 5/23/2005. Claims 1, 4, 6-7, 9, 14, 17, 19-20 are amended, claims 13, 26 are cancelled, and new claims 27-28 are offered for consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2) Claims 1-2, 7-12, 14-15, 20-25, 27-28, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Prough (5,547,546).

Claims 1-2, 9-10, 14-15, 22-23, 27-28: Prough discloses a continuous digester system (as shown in Figure 1) that includes a chip bin 10 into which chips are introduced through the top inlet 31 and are discharged through the bottom outlet 32 to a digester. The chip bin is constructed of two parts, the upper part located above the vibrating cone baffle assembly, Vibra-Bin®, and the lower part, located below the Vibra-Bin®. The lower part of the bin is tapered. Steam is introduced into the bin through downwardly angled pipe 22 located in the upper part of the bin and from upwardly angled pipe 28 located in the lower part of the bin. A temperature sensor probe 25 is located in the chip bin. The sensing portion 41 of probe 25 is about 10 to 20 feet long

Application/Control Number: 10/652,817

Art Unit: 1731

and the probe bottom 42 is long enough to sense to about five feet below the level (top) of the chips in the chip column. Since the probe 25 goes across the level of the chip column sensing area, it should be as long as the typical level variation of the chips, that is, about ten to fifteen feet. It would have been obvious thus, to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made, that the steam orifice 22 of Prough, would be above said level (Abstract, col. 1, lines 43-54, col. 5, lines 29-44, col. 6, lines 43-65, Fig. 1). Black liquor from the digester is introduced to the bin (col. 5, lines 1-9). An inline temperature sensor is located in the steam line 28 into the lower part of the chip bin, as shown in Figure 1.

Page 3

Claims 7, 20: an inline temperature sensor is located in the steam line 28 into the lower part of the chip bin, as shown in Figure 1.

Claims 8, 21: black liquor from the digester is introduced to the bin (col. 5, lines 1-9).

Claims 11-12, 24-25: flow sensors and level controllers are disclosed. The controllers are interlocked with the steam application (col. 5, line 29 to col. 6, line 68).

Claims 3, 16, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Prough in view of Leask (3,661,328). Prough is applied as above for claim 1, 14, Prough fails to disclose that the chip bin comprises steam orifices angled tangentially. Leask discloses chip bin 10 into which steam is introduced tangentially from cyclone separator 44 (Leask, col. 3, lines 32-54 and Figure 1). It would have been obvious, to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made, to combine the teachings of

Art Unit: 1731

Prough and Leask, because such a combination would improve the distribution of wood chips in the bin of Prough due to the tangential steam flow of Leask.

4) Claims 4-6, 17-19, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Prough in view of Johanson (5,454,490).

Claims 4, 17: Prough is applied as above for claim 1, 14, Prough fails to disclose that the chip bin further includes conical baffles projecting from a wall of the bin.

Johanson discloses a bin 17 for accepting wood chips and wherein said bin are conical frustrums 24 projecting from the interior wall 20 (Johanson, col. 3, line 46 to col. 4, line 64, and Figure 5). It would have been obvious, to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made, to combine the teachings of Prough and Johanson because such a combination would reduce the compression of the wood chips in the bin of Prough as disclosed by Johanson (Abstract).

Claims 5, 18: exhaust pipe is disclosed by Prough (Figure 1).

Claims 6, 19: each of the four levels where steam is introduces, as shown in Figure 3 of Johanson, represents a treatment zone.

Response to Amendment

- 5) Claims 1-2, 7-15, 20-26, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Prough (5,547,546), have been withdrawn in view of amended claims.
- 6) Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-26, have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/652,817

Art Unit: 1731

Conclusion

Page 5

7) Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

b) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Halpern whose telephone number is 571-272-1190. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/652,817

Art Unit: 1731

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Halpern V Primary Examiner Art Unit 1731